

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/692,779	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DART ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Gregory M. Desire	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Gregory M. Desire.

 (2) Applicant's representative Rene A. Pereyra.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 30 June 2010
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 14 and 41-43

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Gregory M. Desire/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner advised applicant's representative claim 1 should have been rejection under 35 USC 101 for claims not residing on a crm. The claim should be appropriately amended. Also, the specification does recite the computer readable medium as a signal. The claim would need to include limitations wherein crm is non transistory.